

EVOLUTION OF PLANTING OPERATIONS

OCTOBER 2021

Presented By: Lwandile Mbono





- Background to modernization of planting operations
- Modernized planting operations
- Productivity learnings and comparisons
- Modernization learnings

Background to modernized Planting Operations

- Took off in 2012 series of trials with learnings and failures
- Ergonomics and safety risk decent work
- Inconsistent quality challenges- operation execution
- Productivity improvements in operations
- Labor turnover concerns and aging staff
- Cost containment





Pit and Plant Operations

- Consists of two individual operations
- Drive into compartment
- Works best with planting gel with this operation
- Reduced span of control supervision
- Slope limitations
- Reduced frequency of incidents







Pit and plant Operation





Pit and Plant Analysis

Benefits	Challenges		
Gel carried by trailer – drive into compartment	Harvesting Residue		
Consistent pitting quality – results in consistent planting quality	Slope limitations		
Gel helps retain moisture longer during dry conditions	Cost of pitting		

- 0.7-1 litres per plant
- 3.49 plants per minutes (6.12ha / 6.5 PMH)



Pit-less Planting Operations (Single-pass)

- Single pass planting operation
- Drive into compartment & road side
- Does not use gel water only
- Reduced span of control supervision
- Reduced frequency of incidents potential for high impact
- Less area disturbed around the plant









Pitting



Pitting with the modified beak and step for better penetration and acceptable plant depth

Planting



Select plant and place into the planting tube

Planting



Slightly lift planting tube

Firming Soil around plant



Remove planting tube and firm the soil using your feet (NB!! Avoid damage to plant)



Vastrap SWOT Analysis

Benefits	Challenges
Combines multiple operations (i.e Pitting , Planting)	Harvesting Residue
Less soil disturbance when making the pits	Soil Texture
Cost Saving	Slope
	Obstacles

- 0.7-1 litres of gel per plant
- 2.56 plants per minute per planter (4.5ha per 6.5PMH)



Wasserfplanzer Operation

Pitting



Pitting using water pressure



Select plant and place into the planting tube

Planting 3

Slightly lift planting tube





Remove planting tube and firm the soil using your feet (NB!! Avoid damage to plant)

Wasserfplanzer Operation





Wasserfplanzer Analysis

Benefits	Challenges
Combines operations (Pitting & planting)	Harvesting Residue
Less material to carry (Planting Gel)	Soil Texture
Less disturbance to Pit (enough for the plant)	Slope
Cost Savings	Drought

- 1.5-2 litres per plant
- 3.3 plants per minute per planter (5.8 ha / 6.5 PMH)



Productivity Comparison



**Productivity per Shift based on 6.5 PMH

■ Time Study ■ Ops Actuals

High level Operation Comparison

	Manual Planting	Pit-Plant with Gel	Vastrap	Wasserfplanzer
Slope limitation	\checkmark	χ	χ	\checkmark
Ergonomics	χ	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Cost Savings	χ	χ	\checkmark	\checkmark
Quality Improvements	X	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Harvesting Residue limitation	\checkmark	χ	χ	\checkmark
Soil Texture limitation	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	χ
Labor Intensive	X	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Modernization Learnings

SIYAQHUBEKA Forestry for Life

- Operational planning
 - Access routes for the machinery
 - Availability of resources water/plants/back-up spares
- Ergonomics improvements
 - Stoop bending eliminated
 - Less handling of heavy materials
- Consistent quality achieved with consistent training
 - Better survival
 - Low blanking percentages
- Productivity improvements in operations
 - Less labor intensive
 - Plant quality has direct impact on productivity
 - Extended working hours multiple shift system







Thank You